Presbyopia is a nearly universal disorder that will negatively affect us all at some point in our lives. Market Scope estimates that the number of people affected by presbyopia will continue to increase, reaching 2.1 billion worldwide by 2020. According to results of an online survey performed by Alcon and Jacksonville University, 49% of respondents would avoid reading glasses if they knew it made them look older, and 33% would seek an eye care professional if they knew there were remedies for presbyopia.

Numerous surgical approaches target presbyopia correction, including monovision laser vision correction, a variety of presbyopic LASIK approaches, and refractive lens exchange. These procedures function well for many people; however, all have the potential to affect visual quality through decreased contrast sensitivity, loss of stereopsis, and increases in halos and glare.

The Kamra inlay (AcuFocus) has potential benefits over these and other approaches, but the candidacy requirements can be quite rigid.

DETERMINING CANDIDACY

The Kamra inlay is approved for use in near-plano presbyopes who have a clear crystalline lens, have at least 500 µm of corneal tissue depth, and require a reading add of at least 1.00 D. The inlay functions through small aperture optics, increasing the functional depth of focus to approximately 2.75 D. As such, although nearly all patients will notice an improvement in depth of focus, patients who are slightly myopic at approximately -0.75 D will have the best combination of near and distance vision. This is illustrated conceptually in Figures 1 and 2.

TRADITIONAL TECHNIQUE

In early experience, the Kamra inlay was placed under a thick, LASIK-style flap. The inlay is now recommended to be placed in a pocket at least 200 µm from the corneal surface in the nondominant eye of a near-plano presbyope. The inlay is centered over the patient’s visual axis, where it blocks peripheral unfocused rays of light. The rationale for deep placement is that this should have less effect on the corneal nerve plexus and corneal biomechanics than shallow placement. Additionally, there is likely less inflammation and faster visual recovery given that there are fewer keratocytes deeper in the cornea.

COMBINED TECHNIQUE

Because there are relatively few slightly myopic plano-presbyopes and because better visual outcomes are seen with the Kamra in those with a manifest refraction spherical equivalent (MRSE) of -0.75 D, I began performing a staged LASIK and Kamra inlay procedure on nearly all patients without previous ocular surgery.

In the beginning, I performed LASIK with a target of -0.75 D in the nondominant eye and plano in the dominant. The Kamra inlay was then placed on a subsequent day. This staged approach produced excellent visual outcomes; however, patient satisfaction was tempered given the diminished UDVA from the MRSE of -0.75 and the requirement for two separate surgical sessions.

To address this tempered patient satisfaction, I began performing a same-day combined LASIK and Kamra procedure. I have found this to be safe in my hands, and it has produced equivalent and excellent visual outcomes with greatly improved patient satisfaction. The procedure as I perform it is outlined in Same-Day Surgical Technique, and video of the surgery can be seen on Eyetube at http://bit.ly/rebenitsch916.

OUTCOMES

The Kamra inlay with the traditional approach has been combined with LASIK to produce equivalent outcomes.
SAME-DAY SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

1. LASIK is performed on the dominant eye with a plano target using the author’s standard technique and a laser suite containing both a WaveLight EX500 excimer laser (Alcon) and a Femto LDV Z6 femtosecond laser (Ziemer Ophthalmic Systems).

2. The temporal limbus of the nondominant eye is marked with gentian violet to aid in placement of the pocket entrance.

3. A 4-mm gentian violet ring is created to outline where the Kamra inlay is to be placed over the visual axis. Of note, the scope of the EX500 has a vertical offset that must be accounted for when the patient is looking at the fixation light.

4. A pocket is created with the Femto LDV Z6 with low energy, at least 100 µm deeper than the planned ablated stromal bed under the LASIK flap. At least 250 µm of stroma is left posterior to the inlay.

5. An 8.5-mm thin (100–110 µm) flap is created, centered over the future site of the inlay. The flap edge must not intersect with the entrance to the pocket.

6. A Sinskey hook is used to open the edge of the pocket.

7. The Sinskey hook is used to open the edge of the LASIK flap to release any gases that may obscure visualization.

8. The pocket is dissected using a spatula.

9. The Kamra inlay is placed in the pocket and centered over the visual axis.

10. The flap is lifted, and excimer ablation is performed. The pupil tracker registers the Kamra inlay as the pupil, allowing centration of the ablation.

11. The flap is repositioned and the pocket checked.

12. A 3-month, temporary punctal plug is placed to assist with dryness.

13. A topical antibiotic is prescribed for 1 week, and a topical steroid is tapered over 3 months.
reported previously to provide a mean binocular UNVA of approximately 20/30, which remains stable for at least 3 to 5 years. My experience with more than 50 Kamra inlays with the traditional approach has been at least equivalent to this.

With the combined approach, I have found the same. I have performed the combined procedure in 48 patients, with postoperative results available for 33 at month 1 and for 13 at month 3. Three patients required next-day flap repositioning, which had no long-term sequelae. At 3 months, the mean binocular UNVA was 20/28. Monocular UDVA was 20/25, and binocular UDVA was 20/16. Mean monocular CDVA was 20/19. Improvement in binocular UNVA over time is shown in Figure 3.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 48-year-old man—an educator—presented to our clinic with a 3-year history of difficulty with near tasks and no significant ocular history. On exam, his UDVA was 20/30 OD and 20/25 OS. Manifest refraction was 1.00 D sphere OD and 0.75 D OS; cycloplegic refraction was the same. Slit-lamp examination showed a healthy cornea with a good tear film, a clear lens, and a healthy fundus in each eye. Corneal tomography showed no irregularities, with pachymetry of 525 µm OD and 535 µm OS.

The patient was recommended to have LASIK in his dominant right eye and combined LASIK and Kamra inlay in his left. The procedure was performed with targets of plano OD and -0.75 D OS. Visual recovery was as follows:

- Day 1: UDVA’s, 20/20 OD, 20/25 OS; binocular UNVA, 20/40
- Day 10: UDVA’s, 20/12 OD, 20/20 OS; binocular UNVA 20/25
- Month 3: UDVA’s, 20/16 OD, 20/20 OS; binocular UNVA, 20/25.

The patient is now 6 months postoperative and satisfied with his distance and near vision without eye dryness or visual disturbances.

CLINICAL PEARLS

The combined procedure is more technical for a number of reasons, and it should not be attempted until the traditional technique is mastered. An opaque bubble layer can obscure visualization. The surgeon must ensure that the flap edge and entrance to the pocket do not intersect. Flap displacements can occur, and they must be addressed.

In my experience, most presbyopes become satisfied with the procedure when their binocular UNVA is 20/30 or better. Monocular UDVA must also be 20/30 or better. This can occur as early as the next day, but in some patients, can require months. Effective lubrication of the ocular surface is paramount, as nearly all patients will suffer from eye dryness for at least the first few months.

I have also found that, although distance vision is important, near vision is even more so. As a result, when planning the target for the Kamra eye with LASIK, I tend to aim for a target of -0.75 to -1.00 D rather than -0.50 D, which would be well within the approved MRSE for the inlay. With this targeting strategy, patients have been better able to avoid reading glasses throughout the normal fluctuations during the healing process.

Patients must be counseled on the need for patience with this procedure, as vision improves over months rather than days and can fluctuate for up to 9 to 12 months in some. The visual results are excellent, but the recovery is not as fast
as with other forms of laser vision correction. What we have found with the combined procedure, however, is that, given an ideal MRSE outcome, tolerance during the healing process is much greater. The need for reading glasses in the early postoperative period has been greatly lessened as a result.

CONCLUSION

The same-day LASIK and Kamra inlay procedure has been effective in our practice, greatly improving patient satisfaction.