The WaveLight Refractive Suite: Clinical Data 2012

An overview of the latest research on the WaveLight EX500 excimer laser and the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser.

Laser refractive technologies continue to evolve and raise the standards for corneal surgery. This monograph features the latest data from clinical studies involving the WaveLight Refractive Suite, which encompasses the WaveLight EX500 excimer laser, the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser, and several WaveLight diagnostic instruments. These studies show that excimer ablations and femtosecond flap cuts made with these lasers are highly accurate and yield excellent results. Among these studies are also interesting findings on patients’ visual recovery time after LASIK with the ALLEGRETTO WAVE Eye-Q Excimer laser and the biomechanics of flaps created with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser versus a microkeratome. In short, these technologies continue to impress.
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Experience With the WaveLight Refractive Suite

Six-month data after LASIK and advanced surface ablations.

BY ARTHUR B. CUMMINGS, MD, AND GABRIELLE E. KELLY, PhD

Innovations in laser vision correction have included the development of faster excimer lasers, the use of femtosecond lasers to create more precise lamellar flaps, and advancements in laser platforms. A new laser refractive platform, the WaveLight Refractive Suite (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.), comprises a 500-Hz excimer laser (the EX500) and a 200-kHz femtosecond laser (the FS200) and multiple diagnostic instruments. We performed a retrospective analysis of consecutive patients who underwent primary LASIK or enhancement surgery for myopia, hyperopia, and mixed astigmatism using the WaveLight Refractive Suite. Overall, the study eyes demonstrated good, predictable, and stable outcomes with this new laser refractive platform.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study included eyes of patients with preoperative spherical equivalent (SE) myopic refractive errors of up to -12.00 D, SE hyperopic refractive errors of up to +5.50 D, and up to 6.00 D of astigmatism. These eyes underwent LASIK as an initial or secondary refractive procedure. Preoperative central corneal thickness readings had to measure at least 480 µm with an estimated postprocedure residual corneal bed of greater than 270 µm.

We excluded eyes with abnormalities in the anterior or posterior segment, clinically significant dry eye diseases, forme fruste keratoconus or keratoconus, and clinically significant abnormalities on topography and tomography.

We measured patients’ UCVA, BCVA, manifest and cycloplegic refractions, scotopic pupil size, topography, tomography, wavefront, and pachymetry at baseline and again at 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively. We evaluated corneal topography at 6 months postoperatively.

Treatment Planning and Procedure

The two lasers in this platform are linked mechanically by a single bed that swings between them at an angle of 30º or 45º depending on the surgeon’s preference. All patients underwent topographical mapping; we included posterior corneal surface readings using Scheimpflug principles and the Wavefront-Optimized profile for the majority of these cases with the treatment based on manifest refraction. We made no changes to the Wellington nomogram we used previously on the 400-Hz ALLEGRO WAVE Eye-Q excimer laser (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.). We also performed additional diagnostic testing for eyes undergoing wavefront- or topography-guided procedures.

The WaveLight Refractive Suite incorporates noncontact pachymetry, which enables the surgeon to monitor flap and corneal thickness before, during, and after the ablation. In all primary cases, we created the flaps using the FS200 femtosecond laser and performed all ablations using the EX500 excimer laser. The planned refractive outcome was a plano prescription in 94.4% of eyes where distance vision was being targeted, an undercorrection of 1.00 D in 2.3% of eyes, and an undercorrection of -1.75 D in 3.2% of eyes. Secondary treatments were also included in this analysis. If the previous LASIK surgery took place more than 3 years earlier, then other treatment options were discussed with the patient, because the incidence of epithelial ingrowth increases with flap-lift retreatment performed 3 or more years after primary LASIK.1

SAFETY

Overall, the safety outcomes in all groups were positive. At 3 months posttreatment in eyes having secondary treatments and at 6 months posttreatment in eyes having primary treatments of myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism, the results exceeded FDA safety criteria that required less than a 5% rate of loss of two or more lines of BCVA. In preoperative myopes who underwent primary LASIK, the safety analysis at 6 months after surgery demonstrates a very safe procedure with this new treatment tool.

Efficacy

Patients assessed in this study were all-comers to reflect a population of patients seen in a typical refractive surgery practice. Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. The mean change from baseline in UCVA at 3 and 6 months was significant and clinically meaningful in all treatment groups. In the myopic LASIK group at the 3- and 6-month interval, there was a clinically and statistically significant improvement in BCVA (Table 2). The findings in this large case series show that LASIK performed with this laser platform is an efficacious treatment modality and can...
provide predictable and stable refractive outcomes over time with a low risk of complications.

The postoperative refractions in preoperative myopes undergoing primary LASIK were stable over time. In regards to stability, LASIK eyes had a mean preoperative SE myopia of -4.01 ± 2.30 D, which decreased to -0.20 D at 3 months and -0.17 D at 6 months. Approximately 90% of LASIK eyes were within ±0.50 D of the target refraction at 6 months postoperatively.

We also analyzed outcomes for other groups, including LASIK enhancement in eyes with preoperative residual myopia and LASIK enhancement in eyes with preoperative residual hyperopia. With regard to both of these groups with preoperative residual myopia, the change in UCVA at 3 months compared with baseline was statistically significant, but the change in BCVA was not. In the group that underwent LASIK enhancement for preoperative residual hyperopia, the change in UCVA and BCVA was not statistically significant at 6 months postoperatively.

Similarly, our clinic recently reported outcomes of a large series of eyes that underwent LASIK with the 200-Hz ALLEGRO WAVE laser, the 400-Hz ALLEGRO WAVE Eye-Q laser, and the WaveLight EX500 excimer laser. With each faster laser, fewer patients lost lines, and more patients gained lines of vision. Without adjusting our nomogram, we saw a greater percentage of patients achieve within 0.50 D of their intended correction, and more patients achieved better uncorrected and best-corrected acuities compared to those rates with the slower lasers (Figure 1).
PLATFORM FEATURES AND DISCUSSION
LASIK is typically the preferred corneal laser surgery option, because it is more comfortable and has a faster recovery than alternative surface treatment options.3,4 The EX500 excimer laser, which operates at speeds of approximately 1.4 s per dioptr of treatment at a 6.0-mm optical zone and 1.9 s per dioptr at a 6.5-mm optical zone, has a 1050-Hz eye tracker synchronized at 500 Hz with a latency time of 2 ms (data on file). The femtosecond laser can complete flaps in approximately 6 to 10 s, depending on the selected spot and line separations and the size of the flap (data on file). The speed of these lasers has the potential to improve patient safety, because faster treatment times may result in less patient fatigue and anxiety and a reduced risk of ablation errors due to loss of fixation. In the case of the femtosecond laser, there may be a potential reduction in peak IOP resulting from decreased suction duration.5

With the faster repetition rates of new-generation excimer lasers, there has been some concern about possible side effects relating to corneal cell and tissue damage. A study has shown that the collagen in the cornea undergoes thermal denaturation and molecular damage when the temperature is elevated to 40°C or more.6 The EX500 excimer laser has been designed so that only one in five pulses overlap to allow the treated area to cool before receiving additional laser pulses, thus potentially reducing thermal effects. A study comparing the influence of different ablation frequencies (50, 200, and 500 Hz) on endothelial cell density and structural and ultrastructural distribution of collagen fibers and keratocytes found no specific side effects that could be attributed to higher repetition rates.7 In addition, other studies investigating this excimer laser8 or a laser with an even faster repetition rate (1,000 Hz) demonstrated no thermal damage to the cornea.9 It is feasible that the faster ablation possible with this platform has a positive effect on corneal hydration, which in turn can affect the ablation rate and refractive profile. Corneal hydration is a large variable in laser vision correction and is relative to the humidity, temperature, technique, and length of treatment time. Uniform corneal hydration is an important consideration in order to achieve a more even ablation.10 With the rapid treatment time, there may be less dehydration of the corneal bed with reduced flap shrinkage, a reduction in the incidence of striae, and an improved refractive predictability. Improved flap hydration can also facilitate healing.
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Testing the Reproducibility of a Femtosecond Laser

A single-center evaluation of flap thickness produced by the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser.

BY DANIEL S. DURRIE, MD

One of the expected benefits associated with using a femtosecond laser instead of a mechanical microkeratome blade to create a corneal flap is greater predictability in the targeted versus achieved flap thickness. Surgeons need to be able to accurately predict the thickness of a LASIK flap because of the effect a flap has on visual outcomes, the thickness of the stromal bed, and in challenging eyes such as high myopes and those with thin corneas prior to surgery. In order to test our own surgical accuracy, my staff and I recently conducted a prospective evaluation of the postoperative thickness of LASIK flaps created with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.).

STUDY METHOD

We used the Visante OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.) as well as the RTVue OCT (OptoVue, Inc.) to measure the thickness of flaps created with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser in 30 myopic patients who were planned for bilateral, Wavefront-Optimized LASIK surgery (58 eyes total) with the ALLEGRETTO WAVE Eye-Q excimer laser (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.) (Table 1).

Figure 1. RTVue images of a flap made with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser on surgery day, before being lifted (A), and the same flap 1 week postoperatively (B).

Figure 2. Visante OCT images of the same flap made with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser, prior to lifting the flap (A) and 1 week postoperatively (B).
We only included patients in whom both eyes had a preoperative manifest refractive error of -1.00 to -7.00 D and refractive astigmatism of less than or equal to 3.00 D. Both eyes also had to have a BCVA of 20/25 or better.

We created all the flaps at a 60º hinge angle and with a superior hinge. We targeted each flap for 8.5 mm in diameter and 100 µm thick, and created them with a 120º side cut that included a canal for gas to escape. We standardized the FS200 laser’s energy for the planar and side cuts as well as the postoperative treatment regimen for each eye.

We performed the OCT imaging of the flap before lifting it and at 1 week postoperatively, and we measured the subjects’ distance UCVA immediately postoperatively as well as at 1 day, 1 week, and 1 month. We also asked the patients to complete a subjective questionnaire about their visual performance and symptoms both preoperatively and at each postoperative visit.

RESULTS

We found the mean flap thickness (before lifting) to be 104 ±5.0 µm per the RTVue OCT and 100 ±6.1 µm per the Visante OCT (Figures 1 and 2). At 1 week postoperatively, the flaps measured 108 ±4.6 µm on the RTVue OCT and 105 ±3.6 µm on the Visante OCT.

We tested patients’ distance UCVA both monocularly and binocularly. Monocular results were as follows: 20/20 or better in 95% of eyes at 1 day, 20/16 or better in 75% of eyes at 1 week, and 20/12.5 or better in 38% of eyes at 1 month (Figure 3). Binocular distance UCVA results were 20/20 or better in 87% of eyes at 1 day, 20/16 or better in 87% by 1 week, and 20/12.5 or better in 67% of subjects at 1 month (Figure 4). None of the eyes lost two or more lines of distance BCVA (Figure 5).

CONCLUSIONS

We concluded that the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser creates planar corneal flaps safely and with a reproducible thickness. Although the flaps were slightly thinner than what we anticipated preoperatively, we believe we can compensate for this effect in the future by programming the laser 5 to 10 µm thicker than the desired correction. We felt that these anterior-segment OCT devices were a fast and noninvasive way to measure the thickness of corneal flaps.
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Since the advent of femtosecond lasers for creating corneal flaps, many interesting studies have been conducted comparing the quality of the cuts between these lasers and manual microkeratomes. I am particularly interested in the architecture and biomechanics of flaps made with these two devices. Thus, my colleagues and I have a three-stage study underway. This article describes the study and our findings thus far.

Most studies of corneal flap architecture to date have been based on imaging and measurements taken after the excimer laser ablation, or, if taken prior to the ablation procedure, then the patient has had to sit up and move to another device for imaging. For the first part of this study, my colleagues and I wanted to image the corneal flap immediately after cutting it with either the femtosecond laser or the mechanical microkeratome, before lifting the flap to perform the excimer ablation. We used the hand-held Envisu Spectral Domain Ophthalmic Imaging System (Bioptigen, Inc.), which received FDA approval earlier this year. The Envisu has a small, sterile probe for taking corneal images. Because of the mobility of this device, we were able to image and measure patients’ corneal flaps while the patients were still lying on the OR table, before lifting the flaps. Thus, we minimized dehydration and any biomechanical disturbances to the flap and stroma other than those caused by the femtosecond laser or microkeratome.

**Methodology and Findings**

**Part 1: Post-Cut Biomechanics and Architecture**

My colleagues and I enrolled 35 patients in the study for bilateral LASIK surgery. We randomized the eyes of each patient to receive a corneal flap with either a mechanical microkeratome (Hansatome; Bausch + Lomb) or the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.).

We found that the flaps created with the microkeratome altered the lamellar structure of the cornea; these flaps were deeper in the periphery and showed variations in centration and diameter. The thin, planar flaps made with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser had a precise depth, diameter, and centration. These showed minimal variability in central thickness as well as regionally within the flaps (Figure 1). These findings confirm previous studies performed with regional subtraction pachymetry and histology.

"The thin, planar flaps made with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser had a precise depth, diameter, and centration."

Figure 1. Flaps made with the FS200 femtosecond laser showed minimal variability in central thickness as well as regionally within the flaps. These findings
correlated with those of earlier studies performed with regional subtraction pachymetry and histology.

**Part 2: Post-Laser Evaluation**

For the second phase of the study, my colleagues and I lifted the patients’ flaps to measure the stromal bed and the angle of the side cuts that each instrument made. The OCT images showed an irregular, sloping flap edge created by the microkeratome versus a clean, vertical edge from the FS200 femtosecond laser (Figure 2). We believe that the vertical edge of the FS200 femtosecond laser’s cut gives the cornea stronger biomechanical structure compared with a microkeratome flap.

We also measured the targeted versus the achieved flap thickness (in both the center and periphery) in three categories: thin (90 µm) and regular-thickness flaps (110 µm) made with the femtosecond laser, and regular-thickness flaps made with the microkeratome (120 µm). Again, the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser outperformed the manual microkeratome (Figure 3). The femtosecond-created flaps had a very low standard deviation: approximately 2 µm centrally and 5 µm peripherally for both thin and regularly sized flaps. In comparison, the standard deviation for the mechanically made flaps was approximately 10 µm in the center and 25 µm in the periphery.

**Part 3: Quality of Vision After LASIK**

Next, my colleagues and I evaluated the subjects’ quality of vision after the LASIK procedure. We did not find a significant difference in postoperative UCVA between the eyes cut with a microkeratome versus the femtosecond laser, unless an eye had a high refractive error that necessitated extreme keratometric values that produced microstriae in the cut.

We also performed further biomechanical testing on these post-LASIK flaps using the Corvis ST noncontact tonometer from Oculus, Inc. This device offers a high-speed Scheimpflug camera (4,330 frames/sec) that can record the cornea’s movements and then play them back in slow motion on a built-in control panel. This part of the study is ongoing to evaluate the deformation amplitude of these corneas. However, our preliminary findings suggest that corneas with femtosecond-made flaps are slightly stronger.

**DISCUSSION**

Because I want to give my refractive patients the best surgery possible, the results of this study give me even greater confidence to use the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser instead of a manual microkeratome. I prefer the predictability of the small standard of deviation for the femtosecond-created flaps, especially with thin or otherwise compromised corneas. Considering the precision of the laser, I am not surprised to find that the femtosecond-created flaps are biomechanically stronger than those made with a microkeratome, which are thinner in the center and thicker in the periphery. Also, microstriae and opaque bubble layers are minimized in flaps made with the FS200 femtosecond laser.

I also like that I can use images from the Envisu OCT device to show patients the difference in the smoothness and uniformity of the femtosecond flaps versus those made with a microkeratome, and thus the quality of the femtosecond cuts becomes its own counseling tool.

I am encouraged by these findings to continue using the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser for my patients. I expect the full results of this study to be published in November 2012 in a supplement to the *Journal of Refractive Surgery*.
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A critical component of successful LASIK outcomes is the predictability of the corneal flap’s thickness. Flaps that are too thin or too thick can compromise the safety of the LASIK surgery. Femtosecond lasers with a high repetition rate (200 kHz) are able to create corneal flaps with less time and energy than older, slower systems (ie, 60 kHz). This lower energy requirement is expected to translate to less tissue inflammation, smaller cavitation bubbles, and an easier separation of the flap from the corneal bed. A faster femtosecond repetition rate also keeps the leading edge of the treatment in front of the spreading bubble layer.

WaveLight GmbH, Alcon Laboratories, Inc., has developed a new, 200-kHz femtosecond laser (the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser) to create lamellar flaps in 6 to 7 seconds, depending on the size of the flap. This femtosecond laser is integrated with the WaveLight EX500 500-Hz excimer laser and several diagnostic tools to form the WaveLight Refractive Suite. This article describes 3-month results of a study conducted at the Wellington Eye Clinic in Dublin, Ireland, to evaluate the predictability of flaps made with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser.

**Supporting Data**

In 2009, Soong et al. studied the uniformity and accuracy of LASIK flaps created with a femtosecond laser versus a mechanical microkeratome via optical coherence tomography (OCT). The 1-month results showed that the flaps made with a microkeratome had a significantly greater range than those made with the femtosecond laser (maximum deviation from the intended flap thicknesses: 7 µm in the femtosecond group compared to 26 µm in the microkeratome group). The investigators also found that flap uniformity differed by >20 µm in 0.42% of eyes in the femtosecond laser group versus 15% of eyes in the microkeratome group.

In a 2011 pilot study of 20 eyes, von Mohrenfels et al. reported the first clinical results using the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser. Preoperatively, the subjects’ mean manifest refractive spherical equivalent was 4.22 D (SD ± 6.12 D), and at 12 months postoperatively, the mean postoperative spherical equivalent refraction was -0.15 D (SD ± 6.016 D).

**Method**

My colleagues and I conducted a retrospective study of 431 eyes of 258 LASIK patients to assess the intended versus achieved thickness of flaps made with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser. We used a nontouch optical pachymeter that is built into the WaveLight EX500 excimer laser to measure the total corneal thickness prior to and after flap creation. To validate the pachymetric readings, we evaluated the total corneal thickness and standard deviation of 813 eyes measured with the EX500 pachymeter and compared the results to readings taken with the Pentacam (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH). There was no statistical difference between the two pachymetric devices with regard to the preoperative total corneal pachymetry.

The myopic eyes required flaps that were 8.5 mm or greater in diameter, depending on the amount of astigmatism present. We used a 6.5-mm-diameter optical zone and a 1.0-mm transition zone for the ablation. The hyperopic eyes needed flaps that were 9.0 mm or greater in diameter with a 6.5-mm diameter optical zone and a 2.4-mm transition zone for the ablation. The planned flap thickness in the majority of eyes (789 eyes) was 120 µm. My coinvestigators and I planned thinner flaps of 100 µm (one eye) or 110 µm (23 eyes) to accommodate thin preoperative corneas or higher corrections. We programmed the FS200 laser to create the appropriate flap thickness and diameter with a 70º angled side cut, a superior hinge, and a 55º hinge angle.

**Surgical Techniques**

One feature of the FS200 laser that I appreciate is that the suction ring can be decented slightly superiorly to expose...
ical microkeratomes is approximately 22 to 26 µm; the standard deviation of flaps made with current mechan-12-15

stable outcomes.

my coinvestigators and I found excellent predictability of flap thickness with a lower standard deviation (13.9 µm). Flaps in myopic eyes had a standard deviation of 13.97 µm versus 13.36 µm in the hyperopic eyes. My colleagues and I concluded that we achieved the intended flap size in all cases.

We did not have to delay or abort any procedure due to suction loss or OBL, and there were no flap-related postoperative complications, including epithelial in-growth, corneal haze, or diffuse lamellar keratitis. The full results of this study have been accepted for publication by the Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery.

CONCLUSIONS

Recent advancements in femtosecond laser technology have improved these lasers’ clinical safety and outcomes. The standard deviation of flaps made with current mechanical microkeratomes is approximately 22 to 26 µm;12-15 my coinvestigators and I found excellent predictability of flap thickness with a lower standard deviation (13.9 µm). Furthermore, consecutive patients from this study who underwent LASIK for myopia, hyperopia, and mixed astigmatism after the flap creation had good, predictable, and stable outcomes.

Likewise, Mrochen et al16 showed that the FS200 laser provides high reproducibility for tissue cutting, with a standard deviation of less than 10 µm in depth and 0.1 mm laterally. Studies have shown that corneal flaps made with the femtosecond laser have a more predictable thickness and have a more desirable planar morphology (Figure 1) than flaps created with standard microkeratomes.17,18 The FS200 laser has a 10-mm homogeneous beam that enables surgeons to vary the spot size, depth, and energy delivery of the laser’s beam, giving them a high level of customization. The WaveLight FS200 laser can make stromal cuts as shallow as 30 µm away from Descemet’s membrane and as deep as 1,200 µm. Users may also tailor the size, shape, angle, location, and depth of the LASIK flap according to the ablation profile, corneal thickness, or other surgical considerations as needed. Other cuts within the laser’s capability are round or elliptical cuts for corneal flaps and side cuts and reverse cuts for corneal segments and keratoplasties. Thus, the FS200 femtosecond laser can treat an array of corneal shapes and sizes. Surgeons may also customize the location of the flap’s hinge using presets for superior, nasal, and temporal hinges. Again, the surgeon may also choose the size, angle, and location of the hinge to preserve corneal nerves.
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Figure 1. Flaps made with a femtosecond laser have a cleaner planar morphology compared with those made by a microkeratome.
One distinct advantage of thin-flap keratomeleusis (thin-flap LASIK) is the speed with which patients regain their vision postoperatively. As refractive surgeons, we have become accustomed to seeing recipients of thin-flap LASIK achieve a distance UCVA of 20/20 or better on the first postoperative day. However, we have not yet quantified the rate at which patients begin to regain specific visual milestones or resume daily activities.

To that end, my colleagues and I devised a study to establish and measure patients’ speed of visual recovery following myopic Wavefront-Optimized thin-flap LASIK with a femtosecond laser. Establishing a specific timeline for visual recovery is important, not only for understanding the potential of today’s surgical techniques, but also in looking toward a future in which refractive patients might be able to resume driving and return to work within a few hours after surgery. My colleagues and I anticipate that with steady innovations in laser technologies and surgical techniques, the goal of “lunch-break LASIK” may indeed be attainable.

STUDY ENDPOINTS

My staff and I conducted this prospective study at Durrie Vision in Overland Park, Kansas. We evaluated 44 eyes of 22 patients who underwent simultaneous thin-flap LASIK in both eyes (Table 1). The primary endpoints of our study were postoperative UCVA and the deviation of the manifest refraction from the intended correction. We defined monocular and binocular distance UCVA and monocular mesopic contrast sensitivity as continuous variables.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

For the surgery, we used the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.) to create a superior-hinge flap set at a 60º angle. All the flaps were circular, 8.5 mm in diameter, and had an intended thickness of 110 µm. We created the flaps using a 120º side cut (this included the creation of a canal for gas to escape). We also standardized the energy delivery for the planar cut and the side cut.

Next, we performed the ablations with the ALLEGRO WAVE Eye-Q excimer laser (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.). We used a Wavefront-Optimized ablation profile with a 6.5-mm optical zone and a 9.0-mm ablation zone, except in five eyes that did not have astigmatism. These eyes instead received a spherical treatment with a 7.1-mm ablation zone. All subjects received proparacaine 0.5%, tetracaine 0.5%, brimonidine 0.025% (Alphagan P; Allergan, Inc.), and gatifloxacin 0.5% (Zymaxid; Allergan, Inc.) intraoperatively. Postoperatively, we treated all eyes with gatifloxacin 0.5% and prednisolone acetate 1% (Pred Forte, Allergan, Inc.). For the first week after surgery, all patients received gatifloxacin 0.5% and prednisolone acetate 1% four times daily, as well as preservative-free tears six to eight times daily.

SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE MEASUREMENTS

We evaluated the patients’ binocular and monocular distance UCVA prior to surgery and also at the following time points: immediately after surgery and 30 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 1 day, and 1 month postoperatively.

We tested the subjects for monocular contrast sensitivity and asked them questions about their visual function at each of these time points. The patients also filled out a subjective questionnaire pertaining to their visual symptoms before surgery and also 1 month after surgery. We asked them questions such as, “Would you feel comfortable driving at this point?” and “Would you be able to text or use your cell phone at this point?” Also at 1 month, we collected wavefront and postoperative manifest refraction data.

VISUAL ACUITY RESULTS

In terms of monocular distance UCVA, all of the eyes in our study achieved 20/40 at 4 hours after surgery, and 98% saw 20/20 or better by day 1 (Figure 1). For binocular distance UCVA, 91% of the patients attained 20/40 at 1 hour.
91% saw 20/20 at 4 hours, and 100% reached 20/20 or better by day 1 (Figure 2).

Previous studies have noted a decrease in contrast sensitivity linked to increased higher-order aberrations after thin-flap LASIK.¹⁻⁶ One study found that patients recovered contrast sensitivity function between 6 and 9 months after surgery.⁵ The subjects in our study regained contrast sensitivity function much more quickly and ultimately improved.

In the immediate postoperative period, we found that low-frequency (1.5 cycles per degree [cpd]) monocular contrast sensitivity was decreased (1.69 cpd preoperatively vs 1.30 cpd immediately postoperatively: \(P<.01\)), but was restored to preoperative baseline by 4 hours (preoperative value vs 1.30 cpd at 4 hours \([P=.63]\)) (Figure 3). By 1 day, low-frequency monocular contrast sensitivity showed a statistically significant improvement over baseline (preoperative value vs 1.76 cpd at day 1: \(P<.01\)) that was maintained at the 1-month visit (1.77 cpd at 1 month postoperatively).

High-frequency monocular contrast sensitivity (18 cpd) was also diminished in the immediate postoperative period (1.13 cpd preoperatively vs 0.19 cpd immediately postoperatively: \(P<.01\)), but returned to the preoperative baseline by 4 hours (preoperative value vs 1.03 cpd at 4 hours: \(P=.24\)) and showed a statistically significant improvement over preoperative baseline at 1 day (preoperative value vs 1.27 cpd at day 1: \(P<.01\)) that continued to improve at the 1-month visit (1.35 cpd at the first postoperative month).

**SUBJECTIVE RESULTS**

At 30 minutes after surgery, 45% of the patients who filled out the subjective questionnaire (n=22) said they would feel comfortable driving, and at 4 hours after surgery, all patients felt they were able to drive (Figure 4). At the 30-minute time point, 91% of patients reported that they felt able to send or read text messages on a mobile phone, and by 2 hours after surgery, all subjects felt comfortable doing so. At the 1-month visit, there was a statistically significant improvement (\(P<.01\)) over baseline in struggles with daily activities (from 1.19 to 0.41 on a scale of 0 to 9). There was no statistically significant difference between baseline and the 1-month visit in terms of visual disturbances such as glare, halos, double vision, ghost images, or vision fluctuations. We also did not find any significant difference in dry eye at 1 month after surgery, although there was a statistically significant increase in the use of artificial tears (from 0 to 3.5 on a scale of 0 to 9; \(P<0.01\)) at this time point (Figure 5).

**ACUITY AND FUNCTIONAL VISION**

Patients in this study attained excellent monocular and binocular visual acuities within hours of undergoing myopic thin-flap LASIK with the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond
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Our visual acuity data from the first postoperative day compare favorably with previously published data at the same time point.7,8 Although functional vision also recovered quickly, there was a gap of several hours between subjects’ recovery of visual acuity and functional vision. Although 91% of patients had achieved sufficient binocular distance UCVA (20/40 or better) to legally drive a car within 1 hour of surgery, only 45% of patients felt comfortable driving at that time point. By the 4-hour time point, at which 100% of patients had reached binocular distance UCVA of 20/32 or better, all patients said they would be comfortable driving. A total of 64% of the patients in the cohort demonstrated the ability to read the distance EDTRS 20/12.5 line at the 1-month visit, and three of the 22 patients had binocular distance UCVA of 20/10. These findings show that vision continued to improve up to this point (Table 2).

An Achievable Goal

Given the rate of improvement and innovation in modern lasers and refractive surgical techniques, there is reason to think that “lunch-break LASIK” may be an obtainable goal with constantly changing technology. Studies indicate that the smoothness of the optical interface created by the WaveLight FS200 femtosecond laser during the thin-flap LASIK procedure allows for exceptional visual recovery. Although we are not yet able to allow patients to drive home or to work after laser vision correction, this could possibly be the rule rather than the exception. This study, to our knowledge, is the first to evaluate and quantify the speed of visual acuity and contrast sensitivity recovery immediately after thin-flap surgery. Although further study with a larger sample of eyes is required to corroborate these findings, we are encouraged by their implications. Rapid visual recovery that continues to improve beyond 20/20 represents the promise of a “wow” factor for a new generation of refractive surgery patients.
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Table 2. Binocular Uncorrected Visual Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0 Minutes</th>
<th>30 Minutes</th>
<th>1 Hour</th>
<th>2 Hours</th>
<th>4 Hours</th>
<th>1 Day</th>
<th>1 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20/12.5 or better</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/16 or better</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/20 or better</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/25 or better</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/32 or better</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/40 or better</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Patient questionnaire: Would you be comfortable to drive at this point?

Figure 5. Subjective questionnaire responses about visual symptoms (scale = 0 to 9).
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