Modern approaches to cataract surgery have been confirmed to be effective and safe in numerous clinical scenarios. Unfortunately, in the event of IOL explantation, whether in the early or late postoperative period, there are many difficult issues, and no single best method is accepted by all cataract and vitreoretinal surgeons. Reasons for IOL explantation or exchange surgery include decentration, subluxation of the full complex of the IOL and capsular bag, refractive error, IOL opacification, and corneal endothelial cell decompensation.

Numerous surgical techniques have been described for mechanical division of the IOL to avoid the need for a large incision; these include crisscross lensotomy, bisection, trisection, and removal of the optic only (Figure 1). All of these techniques are effective, but excessive manipulation within the confines of the anterior segment can quickly lead to intraoperative difficulties or prolonged recovery after IOL dissection.

The biomechanical and physical properties of IOLs vary. A high resistance to deformation can make mechanical IOL separation difficult. Unexpected trauma to surrounding tissues can result from the IOL slipping from between the jaws of scissors or rotating during dissection. Unnecessary tissue damage can also occur from the manipulation of the microsurgical instruments themselves.

Since 2009, surgeons have used femtosecond laser technology to assist with or replace some of the mechanical steps in cataract surgery. More recently, femtosecond laser transection of the lens has been proposed as an alternative method for IOL removal. In our experience, we believe that this technology has the potential to replace manual techniques for routine IOL explantation.

**LOW-ENERGY IOL TRANSECTION**

The term *transection* characterizes the ability of the femtosecond laser platform to partially or fully dissect the IOL according to the chosen profile pattern programmed into the software. (It should be noted that this is an off-label use of ophthalmic femtosecond laser devices.) Although the laser is adaptable to individual surgical technique preferences, there remain limitations.

Compared with mechanical IOL separation with microsurgical scissors,
“COMPAED WITH MECHANICAL IOL SEPARATION WITH MICROSURGICAL SCISSORS, FEMTOSECOND LASER IOL TRANSECTION IS A NO-TOUCH TECHNOLOGY THAT OPTIMIZES THE FORCE THAT MUST BE APPLIED TO CORNEAL INCISIONS FOR IOL SECTION REMOVAL.”

Femtosecond laser IOL transection is a no-touch technology that optimizes the force that must be applied to corneal incisions for IOL section removal. Surgically induced astigmatism is affected by the stretching of the corneal stroma and the size of the incision used to explant IOL sections. The simplicity of intraocular manipulations afforded by in situ transection is key to rapid recovery and successful outcomes after explantation.

Fortunately, femtosecond IOL transection is feasible at low energy parameters that are optimal for safe, precise, and reproducible IOL separation.¹¹

IOL MATERIAL AFFECTS TRANSECTION

Laser settings must be adjusted according to the material class of the IOL to be transected. The most frequently explanted IOLs can be classified into three groups based on their ability to be transected. Our unpublished data, based on in vitro investigations conducted prior to clinical application, indicates the following:

- **Type 1 IOLs.** Soft hydrophilic and silicone-based IOLs are easily transected with minimal femtosecond laser parameters requiring little effort for mechanical separation.
- **Type 2 IOLs.** Hydrophobic acrylic IOLs require a more significant mechanical separation force after femtosecond laser transection (Figure 2).
- **Type 3 IOLs.** In hard, rigid PMMA IOLs, femtosecond laser transection is ineffective or does not meet the requirements of clinical safety.

**TIPS FOR SUCCESSFUL TRANSECTION**

All clinical scenarios require a sterile environment for the femtosecond laser, as docking must take place after the incision is made and the anterior chamber is entered. If the IOL is located in the capsular bag with sufficient zonular support, the lens should be freed from the bag and brought to the iris plane or into the anterior chamber after injection of an OVD. Certain properties define cohesive OVDs as an excellent choice for stabilizing the IOL position: They are more viscous than dispersive OVDs and have higher molecular weights and longer molecular chains.

Horizontal placement of the IOL is crucial, as the profile of the laser cut should cover the IOL only and not the space in which the OVD resides. If the laser exposure interacts with the...
energy is selected to avoid excessive alteration of the IOL surface but to be enough to complete IOL separation.

Spot and layer separation size is 7 µm; this size is chosen to avoid spot overlap, which is undesirable and unnecessary. Overlap multiplies the energy impact, causing charring of lens material and exfoliation of chemical products released by pyrosis. The expansion of gas disseminates the exfoliated material, causing it to be trapped in the corneal wound during irrigation and aspiration. The IOL is then half-transected. (The patterns described here can be changed according to individual preferences and surgical technique.)

The patient interface is then removed, and the patient is switched back under the microscope while sterility is maintained and the anterior chamber is confirmed to be formed. The peripheral part of the IOL optic is grasped with two 25-gauge end-gripping microforceps (Grieshaber revolution DSP ILM forceps; Alcon/Grieshaber) through the preexisting paracenteses and fragmented into two parts by smoothly pulling in a direction perpendicular to the transection line.

The IOL parts are subsequently removed from the anterior chamber though the main incision. After the replacement IOL is implanted, bimanual irrigation and aspiration of the anterior and posterior chambers is done in routine fashion, removing OVD and any cavitation gas bubbles left after laser transection. Finally, the corneal incisions are ascertained to be watertight.

**FUTURE DIRECTION**

This technique is a novel use of femtosecond laser technology, and its safety with regard to biocompatibility of exfoliated material from laser-lens material interaction requires evaluation by gas quantitative analysis. More trials are needed to determine its clinical efficacy.

Low-energy femtosecond laser transection has a small but significant salutary effect on the performance of IOL explantation and is applicable in a wide range of clinical situations. This technique is an example of the trend toward minimally invasive surgical intervention. Automated IOL separation can decrease ocular trauma and surgical time, resulting in less postoperative inflammation and faster patient recovery.